Applicant: Mark Hamb

Serial No.: 09/010,801

Filed : January 22, 1998

Page

enabling the user to designate any [arbitrary] one of the identified states; and providing the user an editing tool having the designated state as a document state operand.

itorney's Docket No.: 07844-235001

36. (Amended) The method of claim [26] 22, further comprising:

providing a step backward and a step forward command for the user to execute to navigate the set of states; and

providing a separate undo and redo command for the user to undo and redo commands entered by the user.

43. (Amended) A computer program, residing on a computer-readable medium, comprising instructions for causing a computer to:

create and modify a document;

identify for a user on a display device a set of states that the document has been in by operation of the application; and

enable the user to designate any [arbitrary] one of the identified states.

## REMARKS

Claims 1-44 are pending. Claims 1, 22 and 43 have been amended. Claim 26 has been cancelled. No new matter has been added. Reconsideration and reexamination are respectfully requested in view of the amendments and the following remarks.

## I. The § 112 Rejections

Claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 37 and 38 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. In explaining the rejection the Examiner wrote:

"Claim 1 recites the limitation 'interesting operation' in line 6, page 20. Claim 3 recites the same limitation in lines 7 and 10, page 20 and lines 16 and 21, page 21. Claim 4, 8, 37, and 38 have a similar problem. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim."